### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From	the RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		REC'D	0 1 FEB 2006
To:					PWI	PCT
	see form PCT/ISA/220			· WRIT	TEN OPINION	OF THE
	000 101111	, 6,7,6,4226	<i>3</i>	INTERNATION	VAL SEARCH	ING AUTHORITY
			84,	_ <b>(</b> F	PCT Rule 43b	<i>is</i> .1)
			,	Date of mailing		
L				(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below		
	national application		International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/n	nonth/year)
	T/IB2005/050941		18.03.2005	31.03.2004		
	national Patent Clas BF7/20, H05G2/0		both national dassification	and IPC		
	icant	-				
PHI	LIPS INTELLEC	TUAL PROPE	RTY & STANDARDS	GMBH		
Γ						
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion			
	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				al applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	3bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	plication		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				not apply where	
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					iration of three
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				•	
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
		•				
Nam	eand mailing addres	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	<del></del>	

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nt Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Adams, R

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3766



International application No. PCT/IB2005/050941

_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	this opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With i	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:				
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
c. time of filing/furnishing:		e of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additi	onal comments:		

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050941

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6,9-12

No: Claims

1,2,7,8,13-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-15

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1 US 2004/032574 A1
  - D2 US 2003/053594 A1
  - D3 EP 1 211 918 A
  - D4 WO 01/95362 A
  - D5 US 2003/071979 A1
  - D6 US 2005/122491 A1
  - D7 EP 1 531 365 A
- 2 Independent claims 1, 7, 13, 14 & 15
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 7, 13, 14 and 15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2 Document D1 discloses a high energy photon source contaminant barrier that contains all the features of claim 1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document), namely:

A method for removing (paragraph 0017) contaminant particles, such as atoms, molecules, clusters, ions and the like, produced by means of a radiation source (LA, paragraphs 0017 & 0052, figure 4) during generation of short-wave radiation having a wavelength of up to approximately 20 nm (implicit for discharge or laser-produced plasma sources as disclosed in paragraph 0052), by means of a first gas (the first gas in a mixture of inert gases as disclosed in paragraph 0066) guided at high mass throughput (implicit if the gas is to substantially impede the contaminant flow towards the illumination system - see paragraph 0065) between the radiation source (LA) and a particle trap (the tube 13 is a particle trap) arranged in a wall (see figure 4) of a mirror chamber (the chamber surrounding mirror MR - see figure 4), characterized in that a second gas (the second gas in a mixture of inert gases as disclosed in paragraph 0066)

is introduced into the mirror chamber (implicit since some of the gas introduced will flow in the mirror chamber - see figure 4) and in that its pressure is adjusted such that it is at least as high as the pressure of the first gas (it is implicit that one of the two gases in the mixture, which could be regarded as the second gas, would have a partial pressure which is at least slightly higher than the partial pressure of the other gas).

Therefore, claim 1 is not novel. The same applies mutatis mutandis to independent claims 7, 13, 14 and 15.

## 3 Dependent claims 2 - 6 and 8 - 12

- 3.1 Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- 3.2 It is implicit that one of the two gases in the mixture, which could be regarded as the second gas, would have a partial pressure which is at least slightly higher than the partial pressure of the other gas. Thus **claims 2 & 8** are not novel.
- 3.3 It would be a matter of routine to add the gas curtain disclosed by D2 (paragraph 0045, figure 2) between the high energy photon source and contaminant barrier of D1 (see figure 4) in order to further diminish the number of contaminant particles reaching the mirror chamber. In this case, the first gas would now become the gas used by the D2 gas curtain. Furthermore, the nozzle 210 and diffuser 260 of D2 would have side walls which partially laterally bound a channel (i.e. path) along which the first gas flows. Thus claims 3 & 9 are not inventive.
- 3.4 It would be a matter of routine to select Argon or Krypton as the first inert gas in the mixture of inert gases disclosed in D1 (paragraph 0066). Thus, claims 4 & 10 are not inventive.
- 3.5 It would be a matter of routine to select Helium as the second inert gas in the mixture of inert gases disclosed in D1 (paragraph 0066). Thus, claims 5 & 11 are not inventive.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050941

- 3.6 It would be a matter of routine to provide means for controlling the flow velocity of the first and second gases. Thus **claims 6 & 12** are not inventive.
- 4 Documents D2 D7

It is noted that documents D2 - D7 are also relevant as indicated in the search report.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 3) (EPO-January 2004)

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			ORITY	REC'D 0 1 FEB 2006			
To:					P (V)FO F	CT	
			•			<u> </u>	
see form PCT/ISA/220			R43	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2		•	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	national application T/IB2005/050941		International filing date (a	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 31.03.2004			
	national Patent Clas BF7/20, H05G2/0		both national classification	and IPC			
	icant LIPS INTELLEC	TUAL PROPE	RTY & STANDARDS	GMBH			
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
	☐ Box No. II Priority						
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and Industrial applicability						
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	3bls.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial tions supporting such statement application			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	·				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation				
2.	FURTHER ACTION						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
		•					
Name	Name and mailing address of the ISA:			Authorized Officer			
				1	stehas Pa		

<u></u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Adams, R

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3766



International application No. PCT/IB2005/050941

_	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	regard anguag	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the internation in the intern
	. la	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With neces	regard ssary t	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of m	naterial:
		a se	equence listing
		table	e(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:			
		in w	ritten format
		in c	omputer readable form
	c. tim	e of fil	ing/furnishing:
		con	tained in the international application as filed.
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furn	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h C	nas bed copies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	ional c	omments:

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050941

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6,9-12

No: Claims

1,2,7,8,13-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1 US 2004/032574 A1
  - D2 US 2003/053594 A1
  - D3 EP 1 211 918 A
  - D4 WO 01/95362 A
  - D5 US 2003/071979 A1
  - D6 US 2005/122491 A1
  - D7 EP 1 531 365 A
- 2 Independent claims 1, 7, 13, 14 & 15
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 7, 13, 14 and 15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2 Document D1 discloses a high energy photon source contaminant barrier that contains all the features of claim 1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document), namely:

A method for removing (paragraph 0017) contaminant particles, such as atoms, molecules, clusters, ions and the like, produced by means of a radiation source (LA, paragraphs 0017 & 0052, figure 4) during generation of short-wave radiation having a wavelength of up to approximately 20 nm (implicit for discharge or laser-produced plasma sources as disclosed in paragraph 0052), by means of a first gas (the first gas in a mixture of inert gases as disclosed in paragraph 0066) guided at high mass throughput (implicit if the gas is to substantially impede the contaminant flow towards the illumination system - see paragraph 0065) between the radiation source (LA) and a particle trap (the tube 13 is a particle trap) arranged in a wall (see figure 4) of a mirror chamber (the chamber surrounding mirror MR - see figure 4), characterized in that a second gas (the second gas in a mixture of inert gases as disclosed in paragraph 0066)

is introduced into the mirror chamber (implicit since some of the gas introduced will flow in the mirror chamber - see figure 4) and in that its pressure is adjusted such that it is at least as high as the pressure of the first gas (it is implicit that one of the two gases in the mixture, which could be regarded as the second gas, would have a partial pressure which is at least slightly higher than the partial pressure of the other gas).

Therefore, claim 1 is not novel. The same applies mutatis mutandis to independent claims 7, 13, 14 and 15.

## 3 Dependent claims 2 - 6 and 8 - 12

- 3.1 Dependent claims 2-6 and 8-12 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- 3.2 It is implicit that one of the two gases in the mixture, which could be regarded as the second gas, would have a partial pressure which is at least slightly higher than the partial pressure of the other gas. Thus claims 2 & 8 are not novel.
- 3.3 It would be a matter of routine to add the gas curtain disclosed by D2 (paragraph 0045, figure 2) between the high energy photon source and contaminant barrier of D1 (see figure 4) in order to further diminish the number of contaminant particles reaching the mirror chamber. In this case, the first gas would now become the gas used by the D2 gas curtain. Furthermore, the nozzle 210 and diffuser 260 of D2 would have side walls which partially laterally bound a channel (i.e. path) along which the first gas flows. Thus claims 3 & 9 are not inventive.
- 3.4 It would be a matter of routine to select Argon or Krypton as the first inert gas in the mixture of inert gases disclosed in D1 (paragraph 0066). Thus, claims 4 & 10 are not inventive.
- 3.5 It would be a matter of routine to select Helium as the second inert gas in the mixture of inert gases disclosed in D1 (paragraph 0066). Thus, claims 5 & 11 are not inventive.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050941

3.6 It would be a matter of routine to provide means for controlling the flow velocity of the first and second gases. Thus **claims 6 & 12** are not inventive.

			D0	<b>D</b> 7
4	Docum	ents	DZ -	$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{i}$

It is noted that documents D2 - D7 are also relevant as indicated in the search report.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 3) (EPO-January 2004)